## Congress of the United States

Washington, DC 20515

September 10, 2023

The Honorable Joseph R. Biden President of the United States The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20500 The Honorable Alejandro Mayorkas Secretary of Homeland Security U.S. Department of Homeland Security 3801 Nebraska Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20016

Dear President Biden and Secretary Mayorkas:

We write to urge the administration to use all the tools available to provide stability to undocumented individuals and recently arrived asylum seekers, seeking to work lawfully, support their families, and contribute to the economy. While this letter does not focus on Temporary Protected Status (TPS), many of these individuals come from countries that warrant a designation or redesignation of TPS, and we support those on-going efforts. Detailed below are a variety of additional authorities and actions the administration can take to assist certain undocumented individuals who are stuck in limbo and asylum seekers in obtaining work authorization while they wait for their immigration court case to be heard.

## Asylum Regulation and Parole:

The administration should ease the undue delays in granting work authorizations to asylum seekers. Due to significant immigration court backlogs, many asylum seekers cannot apply for asylum for a substantial period of time. As a result, through no fault of their own, they remain ineligible to work, making them unable to provide for their families and contribute to the economy. Many asylum seekers want to work and give back to their new communities. Likewise, many states, cities, and communities have enthusiastically welcomed these asylum seekers. The administration could assist asylum seekers and their communities by increasing the use of parole and decreasing the regulatory 150-day wait period for asylum seekers to *apply* for work authorization.

Asylum seekers should be permitted to apply to obtain work authorization from the moment that they file their asylum claim. Section 208(d)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) requires that asylum applicants may not be granted work authorization until their asylum application has been pending for a minimum of 180 days.<sup>1</sup> Regulations go further – under 8 C.F.R. § 208.7 asylum seekers can only apply for work authorization 150 days after submitting their asylum applications and must wait at least an additional 30 days to actually receive their employment authorization documents (EADs).<sup>2</sup> This means that, for roughly six months after submitting the application, asylum seekers cannot provide for themselves or their

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> INA § 208(d)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 8 C.F.R. § 208.7

families. Since asylum seekers usually are unable to immediately file for asylum upon entry, the six-month waiting period is overly burdensome.

As a result, asylum seekers are forced to rely on underfunded community groups to provide them with everything from housing to food to health services. This is particularly troubling given the tight labor market we are experiencing across the country. Millions of new arrivals deserve dignified labor and a chance at rebuilding their lives, and allowing them to swiftly enter the formal workforce will bolster economic growth and support struggling industries. Allowing earlier access to work permits would decrease the pressure on states, cities, localities, and other community groups and provide asylum seekers with the opportunity to live more independent lives, find legal representation, and increase economic growth.<sup>3</sup>

At the same time the administration should consider, on a case-by-case basis, humanitarian parole for migrants who entered the United States during the use of Title 42, which includes individuals who entered between March 2020 to May 2023. The parole authority under the INA allows individuals to enter the United States for a period of time for urgent humanitarian reasons.<sup>4</sup> It has been used extensively by both Democratic and Republican administrations since the Eisenhower administration.

The parole process would allow the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) to thoroughly vet each asylum seeker while allowing the individual to apply for work authorization. By granting parole to asylum seekers, we can prioritize their safety and well-being while ensuring they comply with any requirements set forth by DHS.

## Provisional Waiver

We also respectfully urge the administration to take steps to reduce the significant backlog in the "provisional waiver" program and provide appropriate relief to those stuck in the queue. The provisional waiver process allows individuals who are statutorily eligible for an immigrant visa<sup>5</sup> but for their unlawful presence in the country to apply for a waiver of inadmissibility in the United States before they depart for their immigrant visa interview.

Until recently, the provisional waiver has been a very successful and pro-family-unity policy designed to streamline the family-based immigrant visa process for eligible individuals who are part of American families. However, backlogs for the provisional waivers have grown at an alarming rate in recent years. As of April 30, 2023, the wait times for these cases in fiscal year (FY) 2023 have reached an astounding 43.1 months, or approximately 3.6 years.<sup>6</sup> This is a significant increase compared to the median processing time of 4.5 months just over four years ago in FY 2018.<sup>7</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Philippe Legrain, *Refugees are not a burden but an opportunity*, ORGANIZATION FOR ECONOMIC CO-OPERATION AND DEVELOPMENT (2016), <u>https://www.oecd.org/employment/refugees-are-not-a-burden-but-an-opportunity.htm</u> <sup>4</sup> INA § 212(d)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Immigrant visas include immediate relatives, family-sponsored, or employment-based immigrants as well as Diversity Visa selectees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Historical National Median processing Time (in Months) for All USCIS Offices for Select Forms by Fiscal Year, USCIS (Jun. 2023), <u>https://egov.uscis.gov/processing-times/historic-pt</u>.

The impacts of this backlog have severe consequences for many long-time residents and their families, many of whom are U.S. citizens. Lengthy delays impose uncertainty and unnecessary hardships on applicants and their families, leaving them in a state of limbo for an extended period, and without the ability to fully protect and support their families due to, in part, the lack of employment authorization. We are also concerned that the delays may already be undermining one of the key goals of this policy: to encourage participation in the family-based petition process.

Considering the circumstances, we strongly urge you to take immediate steps to address the backlog that go beyond future promises of increased operational capacity. Applicants and their families need meaningful immigration relief now. In the interim, the administration should also consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether paroling-in-place individuals in the considerable provisional waiver backlog would be warranted for urgent humanitarian reasons or to advance a significant public benefit.<sup>8</sup>

## Streamlining Existing Cancellation of Removal Procedures

Lastly, we encourage the administration to establish a process to make non-lawful permanent resident cancellation of removal (cancellation of removal) more accessible to those who are eligible. Such a process would help to streamline cancellation of removal cases and increase access to lawful permanent resident status for immigrants who are vital contributors to their American families and our communities. Under U.S. laws, noncitizens who have resided in the U.S. for at least a decade, have a qualifying family relationship like an American spouse or child, and meet other stringent requirements may be eligible for cancellation of removal and adjustment of status.

Unfortunately, because cancellation of removal applications generally may be filed only once an individual is in removal proceedings, many individuals are not aware of and are unable to apply for this important form of relief. Paradoxically, an individual who is more likely to be granted cancellation of removal and adjustment of status is less likely to access this relief because they will not be a priority for removal.

Through rulemaking, the administration can address this issue by instituting a coordinated process that allows individuals to affirmatively request a review of their cases to make preliminary determinations about their eligibility for this relief. Creating such a process would unlock a path to lawful permanent resident status for individuals who meet the rigorous eligibility requirements and improve efficiencies in the processing of cancellation of removal cases in immigration court—the second-most common type of case.<sup>9</sup>

Unfortunately, positive legislative reforms in immigration are unlikely this congress due to extreme MAGA Republican opposition. However, the administration can make significant and meaningful reforms through many of the authorities already given to it by congress. We strongly urge the administration to use these authorities to the fullest extent possible.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 212(d)(5)(A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Beyond Asylum: Deportation Relief During the Trump Administration, TRAC IMMIGRATION (October 29, 2020), https://trac.syr.edu/immigration/reports/631/.

Thank you for your consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely,

Terrold Hadler

Verrold Nadler Member of Congress Ranking Member, Committee on the Judiciary

Adam Smith Member of Congress

Eleano H. Noton

Eleanor Holmes Norton Member of Congress

Katie Porter Member of Congress

Pramila Jayapal Ranking Member Immigration Subcommittee

Tony Cardenes

Tony Cárdenas Member of Congress

J. Luis Correa Member of Congress

P. Me

James P. McGovern Member of Congress

Jamaal Bowman, Ed.D. Member of Congress

hKM

Deborah K. Ross Member of Congress

Ilhan Omar Member of Congress

Raja Krishnamoorthi Member of Congress

Adriano Espaillat Member of Congress

Jan Schakowsky Member of Congress

Zoe Lofgren Member of Congress

Nikema Williams Member of Congress

Ted W. Lien

Ted W. Lieu Member of Congress

Gwen S. Moore Member of Congress

Ravil M. L

Raúl M. Grijalva Member of Congress

esus & d

Jesús G. "Chuy" García Member of Congress

Myer

Nydia M. Velázquez Member of Congress

Trank Pallow.

Frank Pallone, Jr. Member of Congress

1. quis Sylvia R. Garcia Member of Congress

Nanette Diaz Barragán Nanette Diaz Barragán

Nanette Diaz Barragán Member of Congress

Varge

Juan Vargas Member of Congress

Darren Soto Member of Congress

Frederica s Wilson

Frederica S. Wilson Member of Congress

Katly Castor

Kathy Castor Member of Congress

Page 7

Henry C. "Hank" Johnson, Jr. Member of Congress

Pauls.tonlo

Paul Tonko Member of Congress

Fregory WMeetos

Gregory W. Meeks Member of Congress

Robert Garcia Member of Congress

ridy Chu Judy Chu

Chair, Congressional Asian Pacific American Caucus (CAPAC)

arbare

Barbara Lee Member of Congress

Sydney Kamlage Dove Member of Congress

Sheila Jackson Lee Member of Congress

Grace Meng Member of Congress

Earl Blumenauer Member of Congress

Laskeda flait

Rashida Tlaib Member of Congress

Jasmine Member of Congress

WIN CASTRO

oaquin Castro Member of Congress

Yvette D. Clarke

Vette D. Clarke Member of Congress

Zaren

Becca Balint Member of Congress

-/ 1

Jonathan L. Jackson Member of Congress

N Tokule

J**J** Tokuda Member of Congress

welling

Eric Swalwell Member of Congress

O.C.L.

Salud Carbajal Member of Congress

André Carson

André Carson Member of Congress

m

David J. Trone Member of Congress

Sugance Je Suzanne Bonamici namia

Suzanne Bonamici Member of Congress

Jason Crow Member of Congress

Jimmy Panetta Member of Congress

Dan Goldman Member of Congress

May GgScarles

Mary Gay Scanlon Member of Congress

lenn Ivey

Member of Congress

Inita . Mobar

Veronica Escobar Member of Congress

Mall

Mark DeSaulnier Member of Congress

Emanuel Cleaver, II Member of Congress

Cori Bush Member of Congress

Ainde J. Jainz

Linda T. Sánchez Member of Congress

Betty McCollum Member of Congress

Chell R

Chellie Pingree Member of Congress

Jaseph D. morelle

Joseph D. Morelle Member of Congress

Mark Pocan Member of Congress

- hra

Dwight Evans Member of Congress

Juliet Menenly

Robert J. Menendez Member of Congress

Maxwell Alejandro Frost Member of Congress

w Underwich

Lauren Underwood Member of Congress

Mark Jalaan

MARK TAKANO Member of Congress

Jimmy Gomez

Member of Congress

Pete Aguilar Member of Congress

Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez Member of Congress

itchie Jone

Ritchie Torres Member of Congress

6.500

Member of Congress

Rick Lanen

Rick Larsen Member of Congress

Frankel

Lois Frankel Member of Congress

Sheila Cherfilus-McCormick Member of Congress

Rashi

Jamie Raskin Member of Congress

Adam B. Schiff Member of Congress

kum S. Pusot

Ayanna Pressley Member of Congress

Brian Higgins Member of Congress

Oany d. Panio

Danny K. Davis Member of Congress

Marc A. Veasey Member of Congress

Lloyd Doggett Member of Congress

Bernie Water clema

Bonnie Watson Coleman Member of Congress

Troy Carter Member of Congress

Grace F. Napolitano

Grace F. Napolitano Member of Congress

Mullin

Kevin Mullin Member of Congress

R. Meclice

Jennifer L. McClellan Member of Congress

Ker GALL

Delia C. Ramirez Member of Congress

Anna G. Eshoo Member of Congress

Colin Z. Alfred Member of Congress

John P. Sarbanes Member of Congress

Sara Jacobs

Member of Congress

ie Dinjel

Debbie Dingell Member of Congress

Sean Casten Member of Congress

Teresa Leger Fernández Member of Congress

mmen L. Lee

Summer Lee Member of Congress

Madelune Stean Madeleine Dean

Madeleine Dean Member of Congress

Mike (L

Mike Quigley Member of Congress

Donald S. Beyer Jr. Member of Congress

Dans Delate

Diana DeGette Member of Congress

Ruben Gallego Member of Congress